

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
- Sales Receipt -

04/24/2006 SBRYCE 00000001 040932 10600725  
Sale Ref: 00000001 DA#: 040932 10600725  
01 FC:2251 60.00 DA

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
APR 17 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Stéphane Bédard Art Unit : 3738  
Serial No. : 10/600,725 Examiner : D. Willse  
Filed : 6/20/2003 Docket No. : 14206/67156  
Customer No. : 26869  
Title : Control System and Method For Controlling An  
Actuated Prosthesis

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 15, 2006, please consider the included remarks.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION 37 CFR §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

**MAILING**  
[ ] deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: 4/17/06

**FACSIMILE**  
[X] facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Carol Andrews  
Signature  
Carol Andrews  
(Type or Print Name of Person Signing Certificate)

SERIAL NO.: 10/600,725  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 14206/67156

**Remarks**

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the Application in view of the remarks below.

**Objections**

The Examiner states that the presentation of the substitute specification filed with Applicant's reply on January 3, 2006 fails to comply with MPEP §608.01(q) because it omits "a statement that the substitute specification includes no new matter".

In response, Applicant submits the following statement:

The substitute specification submitted on January 3, 2006 includes no new matter. Applicant requests that the Examiner enter this statement into the Application, withdraw the objections and reconsider the reply filed January 3, 2006 in view of this statement and the conformance with the requirements for submission of the substitute specification.

**Conclusion**

The claims have been shown to be allowable over the prior art. Applicant believes that this paper is responsive to each and every ground of objection cited by the Examiner in the Office Action dated March 15, 2006, and believes the application is now in condition for allowance. Applicant therefore respectfully requests favorable action in this application. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, Applicant's attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present Application.

Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 04-0932 (Reference Number 14206/67156).